



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,258	04/01/2004	Takashi Ito	251288US2	6972
22850	7590	10/08/2008		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				
EXAMINER				
ZERVIGON, RUDY				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1792				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/08/2008		ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com  
oblonpat@oblon.com  
jgardner@oblon.com

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b></p>	<p><b>Application No.</b> 10/814,258</p>	<p><b>Applicant(s)</b> ITO, TAKASHI</p>	
	<p><b>Examiner</b> Rudy Zervigon</p>	<p><b>Art Unit</b> 1792</p>	

**All Participants:**

(1) Rudy Zervigon.

(2) Christopher Bullard.

**Date of Interview:** 25 September 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Status of Application:** Abandonment

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 13:00

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*All pending*

**Claims discussed:**

*All pending*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner called Mr. Bullard to inquire on the disposition of the case. Mr. Bullard confirmed Applicant's intention to abandon proceedings in favor of filing a divisional application prior to abandonment of this application.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Rudy Zervigon/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)